

Beyond the Practitioners' Lore: Reading the Research

Presented by Susan Becker. Berkeley STC. February 2010.

This session explores how we can improve our work by reading research articles. It uses as an example the NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) *Style Guide for Voting System Documentation* and shows how it was developed through a process of reading the research, reviewing the current accepted guidelines, and critiquing sample documents.

Sources Cited

- Brumberger, Eva R. 2004. The rhetoric of typography: Effects on reading time, reading comprehension, and perceptions of ethos. *Technical Communication* 51 (1): 13-24.
- Carliner, Saul. 2006. *Information and document design: Variety on the research*, ed. Saul Carliner, Jan Piet Verckens, and Cathy de Waele, 1-24. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Carroll, John M. 1990. *The Nurnberg Funnel: Designing minimalist instruction for practical computer skill*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chisnell, Dana, Susan Becker, Sharon J. Laskowski, and Svetlana Z. Lowry. 2008. *Style Guide for Voting System Documentation*. (NISTIR 7519) <http://vote.nist.gov/NISTIR-7519.pdf> (accessed April 20, 2009).
- Dixon, Peter. 1987. The processing of organizational and component step information in written directions. *Journal of Memory and Language* 6: 24-35.
- Felker, Daniel B., Marshall Atlas, Veda R. Charrow, V. Melissa Holland, Cheryl Olkes, Janice C. (Ginny) Redish, and Andrew M. Rose. 1980. *Document design: A review of the relevant research*. Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research.
- Felker, Daniel B., Frances Pickering, Veda R. Charrow, V. Melissa Holland, and Janice C. (Ginny) Redish. 1981. *Guidelines for document designers*. Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research.
- HHS (Health and Human Services Department). 2006. *Research-Based Web Design & Usability Guidelines*. Usability.gov, <http://usability.gov/pdfs/guidelines.html> (accessed September 25, 2007).
- Isakson, Carol S., and Jan H. Spyridakis. 1999. The influence of semantics and syntax on what readers remember. *Technical Communication* 46 (3): 366-381.
- Jarrett, Caroline. 2007. Problems and joys of reading research papers for practitioner purposes. *JUS Journal of Usability Studies* 3 (1): 1-6.
- Larson, Kevin. 2004. The science of word recognition or how I learned to stop worrying and love the bouma. Advanced Reading Technology, Microsoft Corporation, <http://www.microsoft.com/typography/ctfonts/WordRecognition.aspx> (accessed December 6, 2007).
- PlainLanguage.gov. Federal plain language guidelines. <http://www.plainlanguage.gov/howto/guidelines/reader-friendly.cfm> (accessed October 15, 2007).
- Redish, Janice C. (Ginny). 1998. Minimalism in technical communication. In *Minimalism Beyond the Nurnberg Funnel*, ed. John M. Carroll, 219-245. Cambridge: The MIT Press.
- Redish, Janice C. (Ginny). 2004. Letting go of the words. *Intercom*, June, 5-10.
- Salvo, Michael, Meredith W. Zoetewey, and Kate Agena. 2007. A case of exhaustive documentation: Re-centering system-oriented organizations around user need. *Technical Communication* 54 (1): 46-57.
- Schraver, Karen. A. 1997. *Dynamics in document design*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Steehouder, Michael. 2004. Acquiring procedural knowledge of a technology interface: Introduction to this special issue. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication* 47 (1): 1 – 4.
- Steehouder, Michael F., and Carel J. M. Jansen. 1996. The sequential order of instructions: Impact on text quality. In *Proceedings: STC's 43rd Annual Conference*. Society for Technical Communication. <http://www.stc.org/confproceed/1996/PDFs/PG247250.PDF> (accessed October 04, 2007).
- Thompson, Clive. 2008. Can you count on voting machines? *The New York Times Magazine*, January 6.